

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:	Steve, Crist, COWARD
Address:	820 Mehaffey Rd.
Audioso.	Powell, TN. 37849
Phone:	945-2868

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

yes, it is hard to stop progress, but we feel the water plant is a good idea only for the KNOX County Area. How can you just place a plant without just cause? Why does Anderson County have to be the one always doing what benefits KNOX County. We take their garbage, looks like we are to be taking the interstate also. We feel that Henderson Road is a very bad choice also. We feel that Henderson Road is a very bad choice because of the low water level already. If this plant because of the low water level already. If this plant because of the low water level already. If this plant because to be, it could also effect wild life as well in the area. This is only a few reasons, we are, very against

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10-12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

• A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)

Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)

Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)

Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.

• Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- •increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- •increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

FEB 1 3 7117:

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority

	Melton Hill Reservoir	
Name:	Tel & Glenna	Coward
Address:	281 New Genderson Rd	P. O Box
	1031 Clinton In	37717
Phone:	945-3702	

Comments (please use the space below):

I have lived in this area all of my 40 yrs), and raised four kids. We have used the boat and raised four kids. We have used the boat ramp and park several times over the years. The beauty and the lay of this area is a site to behold. Pumps of this magnitude would be dangerous to swimmers and boating. There are dangerous to swimmers and boating. There are alot of people who swims this area. To allow this alot of people who swims this area. To allow this to happen would have a negative impact on our to happen would have a negative impact on our fishing. This would also be a very big eye sare, fishing. This would also be a very big eye sare.

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10-12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- •Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- •increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- •increased fluctuation of water levels
- •increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- •increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage



Crowson, Michael R.

From:

SeaCroes@aol.com

Sent:

Thursday, February 28, 2002 7:59 AM

To:

Windyroc@aol.com; MissMizzou@aol.com; DHedges@direcpc.com; droberto@smalleymfg.com;

MRB@icx.net; AndersonGE@ornl.gov; conrad.d.j@att.net; LCDarwin@aol.com; WTDerrick@hotmail.com; DHargett@vic.com; BDMBSM@aol.com; tmccombs@icx.net;

JBrewer@bhset.org; roberts@icx.net; bgissel@mc.utmck.edu; bgissel@tennessee.edu;

RESmalley@aol.com; LeeSmalley@aol.com; SKrakoviak@SignageSolutions.co; Ecgntry@aol.com; Satterfi@icx.net; siedentopf@icx.net; MHowell@icx.net; ywj@icx.net; Tcapp1@aol.com;

UDG2@aol.com; CKFord@icx.net; Maxmil@usit.net; gchaney@engineerone.com; wbull@utk.edu;

dickerta@compsys.com; hampton2@icx.net; WheatBred7@aol.com; TYoung@fwenc.com; RHKoelsch@att.net; khart@hartgraphics.com; Crowson, Michael R.; sslewis2@juno.com; TSPEARS348@aol.com; ekstrom@esper.com; CarolynTucker@ntown.com; cmaze@bhset.org;

ly202law@earthlink.net

Subject: (no subject)

Meeting - Melton Hill Lake Association Oak Ridge Civic Center, 1401 Oak Ridge Turnpike Tuesday, March 5th 2002 at 7:00 P.M.

Part of the **MISSION** of the Melton Hill Lake Users Association is to provide a forum for persons interested in issues involving the Tennessee Valley Authority and its policies regarding the Melton Hill Watershed, giving them the opportunity to present these ideas or concerns to TVA.

Our **OBJECTIVE** is to provide persons with an interest in Melton Hill Lake a forum to discuss your issues or concerns involving TVA policies in a professional manner which will result in a win-win end result whenever possible.

The Melton Hill Lake Association will host this meeting Tuesday, March 5th, at 7:00PM to discuss several issues that are very timely:

- 1. Bull Run Water Intake Plant The Hallsdale Powell Utility District plans to rebuild and increase the water intake capacity of their Bull Run Creek Plant. Many residents attended a recent meeting and still have many unanswered questions. Lake levels and noise are the two biggest issues that concern many of the nearby residents. The Hallsdale Powell Utility consulting engineering firm has been invited to this meeting to provide additional information and answer questions.
- 2. TVA Reservoir Operations Study Mr. Joel Williams of the TVA Reservoir Operations Study (ROS) will make a presentation to enlighten us on the study that will take place over the next several months. This is a very important study to each of us who operate a boat on Melton Hill Lake and who dock our boat in front of our homes in shallow water. The problem that many of the Melton Hill Users have is the lake levels become too low on summer weekend afternoons to get a boat docked with these lower lake levels. This problem will be part of the TVA study if we provide our input to the ROS.
- 3. Water Levels on Melton Hill Lake Our request and formal approach we must take with TVA regarding ways we can have higher water levels during the summer evenings to allow navigation in the shallow areas of Melton Hill Lake will be discussed. TVA will respond to us as to how we must approach this with Reservoir Operations. They will also answer our questions.
- **4. River Rescue Lake Clean up -** Steve Lewis will discuss the upcoming River Rescue Spring clean up. This is something that we can all do to help improve the quality of our lake. Dates and lake levels will be discussed. Every year we do this, we make our lake a little cleaner and more desirable.

Hope to see you at the meeting.

Carroll Croes, Secretary

Ledford, W Scott

From: Crowson, Michael R.

Sent: Wednesday, May 01, 2002 3:07 PM

To: Toennisson, Richard L.; Ledford, W Scott

Subject: FW: Water Intake

FYI

Mike Crowson,
Melton Hill Watershed Team

----Original Message----

From: JCroes@aol.com [mailto:JCroes@aol.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 01, 2002 1:50 PM

To: Stair@journalbroadcastgroup.com; rmiller@woolfmcclane.com; sslewis2@juno.com; mrcrowson@tva.gov

Subject: Water Intake

Got word today that TVA is going to do an abbreviated Environmental Assessment on the water intake. Mike said that this will take about 4-6 weeks.

Parker Stair who is one of the spokespersons for the nieghborhood would like to see the issue of water quality on the Bull Run Creek side of the intake studied as part of this. He wants to make sure it will not reduce the quality of the water as he thinks it is already in question at times. I told him this actually might improve the water quality as it would make it flow at a greater rate and would draw some Melton Hill lake water into the area.

I think TVA is working hard to make sure they keep us in the loop and work with us. Thanks Mike!

John Croes

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:	Mebert & Sandra Daves
Address:	145 Campbell Lane
	Clinton, In 37716
Phone:	945-3205
Comments (ple	pase use the space below): This is it kesidential most moved here for the quet life but may be now, and it may be attached
area m	rost moved here for the queet life
we thin	to it may be noise and it may
Please se	ee attached
CANAR.	at a lot of cold water and dert
To Come	back our way. I do think
	places are more suitable.

FEB 0 4 2000

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10-12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- •Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- •Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- •increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- •increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- •increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- •decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

FEB 1.8 2002.

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:	Georgia F. Davis	
Address:	1709 Mantain Road	and the second s
	Andermille In 37705	
Phone:	865-494-7371	
Comments (please use the space below):	
D1 03 50	cae attached	

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10-12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- •increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- •increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- *increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- *heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

<u>G</u>5

Public Comments

JAN 3 0 2002

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:	KENT DEROOS	
Address:	2604 Shoreline Dr.	
	Knoxville TN 37932	
Phone:	(865) - 694-3190	
Comments (pl	lease use the space below):	
Please s	see attached	

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10-12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- •Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- •increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- •increased fluctuation of water levels
- •increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- *increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

JAN 3 Q. ZIIDA

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:	LARRY E. DIXON
Address:	148 WALKER C:R.
	ChinTon, TENN 37714
Phone:	865-945-2830

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

I agree with your opposition to the intake blant being broposed. As a properly owner located close to the sight of have first hand knowledge of how unsatisfactory this part of the lake gets when the water level is not maintained to a decent level. I would be very surprised to find anyone involved in this proposal to done lake broperty that would be desectly affected by this project. There is surely better location for such a float such as proposed.

Level a greated by this proposed.

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10-12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- •Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- •increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- •decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- •increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- •decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

FEB 1 4 2002

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:	Thomas N. Duncan	
Address:	345 Old Emory Pd	
	Clinton 2 377/6	
Phone:	(865) 945-1210	
Comments (ple	ease use the space below): after attending the public meeter may stour project, it still object to the local may boune project, it still object to the local man bollocks. Boursel is a Public Ulitily which	ing Linu
sneering the Pu	mp House project, I still object to With which	ahould
	2. 10. In I true I ANN By refusing the pump to	10-14-e-
e peaced on T	VA land, TVA is shierking their responsibility.	TVA
. I is public	land and the first	of the second
mblie land and	regulated by TVA.	,,

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

FEB 1 4 2002

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:	- apascia Duncan	
Address:	345 DH Enroyld	
	Clinton In 37716	
Phone:	(865) 945-1210	_
	I will pump	22 million
follows of water per	day his feel of the Clerch River He	o propert
hang the direction	not commercial by TVA. Bottom Line this	pump
house will be a	commercial building in a recreational + resulting in a recreational + resulting in a recreational + resulting it was brought up	that
and the second second	better suited the needs of H/D Pow where This elocation needs to be ex	

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

FEB 1.4 2002

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Abore William France

name:	Charles Continue
Address	345 Old Emony Rd.
	Choton TN SMML
Phone:	1-(865)945-1810
Commer	its (please use the space below):
Dear Mr. Ledford	
Mary and Mary Mary Land	an obit fighermon and I fish that water reliquisty. That area where you
	borge fife in the water is highly populated with fish, A large shouland
	plidizer. spand tober more svissom a seus bluera, delle yout bone
domanging the fishing	g in that area. In return would will the necreation in their mea.
The Lock flesh of a second of the Lock of the second of th	me that fight to said to be relied to do now a so and book I have a small could be a territing entendant to be out on what are an of water and and I count of older my book motor toget out of the way. Also if you aim about and did not know the warrening against were flections,
in bound which ath	except the feeters new of the opening side any and all they're

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

Howard R. Dyer 130 Tracy Allison Ln. Clinton, TN 37716 ph: 865-945-5376

email: dver919@aol.com

The second visible and Planning

OCT 8 2002

Richard L. Toennisson NEPA Administration Tennessee Valley Authority 400 Summit Hill Drive (WT 8C) Knoxville, Tennessee 37902-1499 Marty G. Tyree Regulatory Branch
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
3701 Bell Road
Nashville, Tennessee 37214-2660

Subject: Comments to the draft environmental assessment "WATER INTAKE FACILITY ON MELTON HILL RESERVOIR FOR HALLSDALE POWELL UTILITY DISTRICT ANDERSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.

Gentlemen,

I have made other comments through public meetings, neighborhood groups, and personally through the mail. However, the following questions and comments are beyond what I knew and understood during the earlier comments. Therefore I am submitting additional questions and comments relative to the noise limits.

Questions from Page 7, under Noise:

- (1) Is the 3 decibles (dB) above background the "limit", or is the 55 decibles A-weighted (dBA) the "limit"? If it is the latter, over what time period will they allowed to average, and what mathematical technique will they be allowed to use. For example, would the intake facility be allowed to emit 70 dB for 12 hours as long as the next 12 hours was below 40 dB (an average of 55 dB), or 80 dB for 6 hrs and 47 dB for 18 hrs (a weighted average of 55 dB).
- What is the source of the 55 dBA? Is it a value from the TVA or the USACE, or is it based upon the noise study conducted by Bowlby & Associates, Inc. (Attachment 7 in the Draft EA)? I do not know the mathematical technique use in the study to arrive at the "A-weighed" value, but it is biased very high. For example, the one day measurement period had only 2 of the 24 noise measurements above the 55 dB level (see Figure 2., in Attachment 7). A simple average of the 24 measurements results in an average of about 50.5 dB. Also, the noise measurements were made only on one day in August, when the natural background noises from crickets, frogs, boats, etc. is at its highest. A more realistic annual Day-Night Noise Level (DNL) should also include winter months, when

the natural background noise levels would be lower, and weekends, when there is no rush hour traffic. In essence, the study maximized the high noise levels and minimized the low noise levels to achieve the 55 dBA value.

- (4) Will these limits be defined in the permit issued?
- (5) If it is determined that the noise levels exceed the limits set forth in the EA, what will be position of the TVA?

Comments:

- (1) An issue not addressed in the EA or the noise study is the fact that the intake facility will be producing a constant pitched noise or hum, which is objectionable when compared to the fluctuating pitch of natural background sounds (from crickets, frogs, an occasional passing boat or car, etc.). For the near-by residents in this peaceful, serene valley, this is one of the greatest concerns.
- (2) If noise from the intake facility does not have an absolute value limit (reference my example in Question (1), and then claims compliance by A-weighting over a long period of time), then the noise level in the immediate area could be unlimited. Many technical references state that a sound level increase of 3 dB is barely perceptible to the human ear and that an increase of 10dB is twice as loud. If the facility is emitting 60 dB (or higher) when the natural background noise level is at 50 dB (or lower), then the constant pitched noise of the pumps, motors and roof mounted air conditioners is all that will be heard.
- I believe the Environmental Assessment should address the constant pitch noise issue and better define the noise limits. The absolute limit of 3 dB above background will (as the EA states) have an insignificant effect on environmental noise. However, the 55 dBA limit will at times allow unacceptable noise levels. An absolute limit of 52 dB emanating from the equipment (excluding background noise) should be established. Then, a 55 dB (or higher due to background) noise level would be equivalent to normal office noise levels and the noise effects would be insignificant.

I understand that noise levels emanating from this facility are probably not a major concern to the TVA or the USACE. However, I ask you to please reconsider the noise levels limits of an industrial type facility in a residential neighborhood, which could (and at times will) be producing irritating noise levels that could drown out the natural sounds.

Respectfully

Howard Dyer

cc: Scott Ledford

Ledford, W Scott

From:

DYER919@aol.com

Sent:

Sunday, March 10, 2002 9:00 PM

To:

SeaCroes@aol.com; wsledford@tva.gov; rgcampb@aol/com

Cc:

DYER919@aol.com

Subject:

Questions on Pumping Station

John , Scott, and Robert

Sorry I'm so long getting these to you, but I've been out of town. I asked these questions at the 3/5/02 meeting, but no one could answer them at that time. I believe these raise some important issues.

Q1. Will the 55db sound level at the property line extend above the facility roof line (e.g., 1.5 meter above the roof line or highest point of the structure)?

CONCERN: If the sound level limit at the property line is 1.5 meters above the ground only, it will not represent the true sound emanating from the facility. Since the sound from the AC units will be primarily upwards from the roof, this will mean a significant increase in noise that can propagate outward toward those of us nearest the station. If the 55db limit is at 1.5 meter above the highest point of the structure, there should (I hope) be little noise impact.

Q2. Will the suction at the intake screens be sufficient to hold a human body on the screen?

CONCERN: Swimmers love to play around suction drains (from personal experience at swimming pools); also a skier or tuber could fall and submerge a couple of feet. If the suction could hold a body down, this would result in a death.

Q3. What is the volumetric input of Bull Run Creek into the embayment?

CONCERN: If the removal rate of the pumping station is only about 10% of the input from Bull Run Creek, I doubt if there would be any significant impact. However, if the removal rate (up to 22 mg/d) is 2 or 3 (or more) times the creek input, then the water quality upstream of the pumping station will deterioate because there would be little fresh water make-up from the main channel as the embayment level fluctuates. Also the water temperature between the pumping station and the main channel will obviously drop since the pumping station will be pulling in colder water from the main channel.

I hope it is not too late to get answers to these questions.

Thanks

Howard Dyer

130 Tracy Allison Ln ph: (865) 945-5376 fax: (865) 945-7667 e-mail: dyer919@aol.com

la (Lame

Public Comments

JAN 3 0 20021

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:	Howard & Anna Dyen
Address:	130 Tracy Allison Lin
	Clinton, TN 377/6
Phone:	865-945-5376

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10-12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- · Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- •increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- •increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- •increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

Thank you for your diligence in this matter.

HRulyer



Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:	Cerry + Detty Carl	
Address:	108 Macy allison Ln.	
Address.	Elinton TN 37716	
Phone:	865-945-1379	<u> </u>

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10-12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- •increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- •increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

= 68

FEB 1 4 2002

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:	Roger + Sue Edmonds
Address:	822 Mehaffey Pd
	Rowell, Tn. 37849
Phone:	945-1369
Comments (ple	ase use the space below):

Please see attached

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10 -12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- · Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- •increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- •increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

FEB 0 5 2002

Tennessee Valley Authority
Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:	HAROLD & REDITH EDWARDS
Address:	190 HENDERSON BEND ROAD
	KNOXVILLE TN 37931
Phone:	865-945-2960

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

WE ARE OPPOSED TO THE PROPOSED WATER INTAKE FACILITY ON BULL RUN CREEK AT MELTON HILL LAKE DUE TO THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

- 1) ADVERSE IMPACT ON THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT;
- 2) ADVERSE IMPACT ON THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITY;

BECAUSE OF:

- CREATION OF EXCESSIVE NOISE
- NEGATIVE AESTHETIC IMPACT
- POTENTIAL IMPACT ON WATER LEVELS WHICH COULD HAVE A NEGATIVE EFFECT ON ENVIRONMENTAL AND RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES
- CONSENSUS OF OPPOSITION FROM THE COMMUNITY AT LARGE

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10 -12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)

Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)

Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)

Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.

• Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- *increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- •increased fluctuation of water levels
- •increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- •increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

57

Public Comments

JAN 3 0 2002

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Address: 124 Tracy Allison Ln	
Clinton, TN 37716	
Phone: (865) 945-5071	

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10 -12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

• A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)

Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)

•Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)

• Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.

• Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- *increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- •increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- •increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

JAN 3 0 7m2.

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

ddress: _	5/3 Oliver Spring Hwy
_	Clinton, In. 37716
none: _	4575461

Comments:

Please see attached

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10 -12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

• A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)

Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)

• Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)

• Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.

• Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- •increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- *increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

	\	
	PAI	
	8 # 6	77 H
	JAN 3	2002
1	rennessed Valley	Anthority

Name:	Robert 5 HAZE	IAM 9 1 DANGE
Address:	116 Tracy Allison Dr	JAN 3 1 2002
	Clinter TN 37716	
Phone:	945 3656	

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

I Strangly oppose the water intake. There was to many questions not

I strangly oppose the water intake. It built it will probably never

Answered at the meeting. Once the intake in built it will probably never

Answered at the meeting. Once the intake in built on recreation area yet they

be kept up. They said an intake could not be built on recreation area yet they

built one for the golf coarpeat Solway. My house is about 200 yds from the site

built one for the golf coarpeat Solway. My house is about 200 yds from the site

My house is the close house. I'am a construction pipe fitter y work around high

cutpit pump requarly. I can't beleave the Noise level will neet os up requirements.

It's old they left the decibe! Numbers at home. I firly quite abit y I can't find

12 For water at that area. Also its strange! can't put a bout ramp in be cause! I was

Told it would mess up the ecogoly of the water. But yet they have no people problem

with it. There's also ducks greece that nest on that shore which will be gone, the

site looking out my window y oleck will face a sorry block house. they never gave

A good reason why the can't go down to the main River whene water quality has to

be better than this location. It may cost more but the people in this area want before

Any way. The only thing this will do for us is lower on house value.

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

ddress:	125 overlook dR, Clinton TN,
	370/6
ione:	463 -> 28>

Please see attached

I disagree with the construction

of the interior facility on melton Mill LAKE.

Some of the Reasons being: Noise pollution.

possible vater pollution, it would be an eyesore

setting across the road from a public park,

And Anterson co. Residents would gain nothing

from it,

During the sommer months bull Run creek

contains glot of recreational use and I believe

nobody wants to see it there.

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:	Charles & Christine Henderson
Address:	125 Baker Meadows Love
Address.	Clinton, TN 37716
Phone:	457-8105
	ase use the space below):
Contanonio (pie	
Please se	e attached
Dears	lease read the following page which lease reasons why a water intake the most reasons why a water intake the most reasons why a water intake by should not be built at the should not be built at the in question. I strongly disagree in question. I strongly disagree
Justs !	the most not be built at the is agree
ニリーハメイルノレハ	
wid Unid	on well as others (decrased property
Volue	I My will blown blown
	Tillero legal MRUTS!
O	e encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the ke facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or
before Feb. 1.	5, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final
environmenta	I assessment and should be directed to: Mr. Scott Ledford

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10-12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)

Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)

•Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)

Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.

• Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- •increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- •increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- •increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir JAN 3 1 2002

Name: J. Elizabeth Hendrix
Address: 154 Walker Circle
Clinton TN 37716
Phone: 865 -945 - 3741
Comments (please use the space below):
Please see attached I would not like to see Hallsdale Formelle build another water Intake Plant on Bull Run Creek. It is my understanding that TVA has given Hallsdale Fowell the premission to dredge the existing area. Can they futilize this option rather than building a new plant? Please do Not let them build this proposed plant

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10-12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- •Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- •Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- •increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- •increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- •increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

4443.0 mm

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:	Phillip HERRELL
Address:	636 Tillery Rd
	Ponell TN 37849
Phone:	945-2007
Comments (plea	ase use the space below):

Comments:

Please see attached

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10-12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

• A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)

Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)

• Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)

· Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.

• Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- •increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- •increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- •increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

4AN 3 0 2002

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:	Lobert and Wancy Hertwig
Address:	120 Baker Meadows Ln
	Clinton TN 37716
Phone:	865 945-3035
Comments (pi	ease use the space below):
	see attached
The is inset	ditional concerns. Recient water flow apstream to provide 8-22 million gallow ater with then come from downstream. Water in the
per day. W	aterwill then come from downstream. Water in the
Clinch 15 m	ach colder. The colder temperature will impact the stat and the associated wildlife
natural hab	tat and the associated wildlife
The quality	of water flowing down Ball Run is not fit for consumption
and become	nes much worse after heavy rain. After a heavy,
rain the w	of water flowing down Ball Run is not fit for consumption nes much worse after heavy rain. After a heavy ater is full of silt, Chemicals from ran off and

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

and foces and wine from far dainy far ming.

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10-12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- •Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- •increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- •increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- •increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- •decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:	MR+MRS LARRY Hicks	also Lucinda Addi
Address:	122 Walker Circle	813 Bull RUN RQ
	Clinton, TN. 37716	Clinton, TN 37716
Phone:	865-945-3056	237-6518

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

Who & Mrs Lany Hick

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10-12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- •Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- •increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- •decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- •increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- •increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- •increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- •heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

Tennessee Valley Authority Melton Hill Reservoir

POBERT D. Hill

Address:	184 WALKER CIRCLE	
	Clinton TN 37716	
	865-945-3257	
Comments (plea	ase use the space below): _ about a mile on up in the cou	and the same of th
from The Pro	Possed Site. There is bouly enough	C. T. Com
to floot a bo	out now when the lake is full. The	dextl
W about 5 for	t in the crock bed and the rest is	Lina
2 to 3 fool	day. I live on a lake front	James Marie Marie
that well h	a Called a mud front lot if	Jaka Kalen
Lake 40 draw	un down any more. Malton Hil	l lake
is very shell	ow and it down don't take mu	
drow down	Twater to put us in the mud, hank you, polait	1/3/

Comments:

Name:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10-12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

• A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)

Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)

• Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)

· Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.

· Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- •increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- •decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- •increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- •negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- •negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- ·heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage